

Studies on moisture levels in clipfish (cod & saithe) and methodologies applied by customs Authorities. (CLIPTURE)

FHF: 901638

Clipfish industry meeting – 1st September, 2020.

Rodrigo G. Reboredo





Objectives

- To get the decriptive statistics for moisture contents in the main size classes of clipfish (cod &saithe).
- To document non conformities and variation in test results due to size, species and between companies.
- To compare differences in moisture evaluation derived from the application of the Annex B of CODEX STAN 167/1989 vs. present Brazilian Methodology. Discussion of the consequences.
- To document the longitudinal variation in moisture content in a split clipfish piece.
- To define a new sampling procedure that could be easier, avoids misunderstandings and show similar results as the cross-sectional method from CODEX STAN 167/1989 –Annex B.



Sampling

- ☐ 6 Norwegian companies as suppliers (300 kg).
- ☐ Dried salted cod and saithe.
- ☐ Three size classes for each of the species: Cod (8/10, 7/9,10/12) Saithe (7/9, 10/12, 16/20).
- □ 4 samples x 5 companies = 20 samples / size class.
- Samples from companies were requested to be selected from different production lots.


Preservation: 2 - 3,5 C, Mean RH (%)= 60,5% Up to 3 months storage.

COD	Size	Sampl.
Comp	7/9	4
Comp.	8/10	4
	10/12	4
Comm	7/9	4
Comp. B	8/10	4
	10/12	4
Comm	7/9	4
Comp.	8/10	4
	10/12	4
Comp	7/9	4
Comp. D	8/10	4
	10/12	4
Carr	7/9	4
Comp. E	8/10	4
	10/12	4

SAITHE	Size	Sampl.
Comp	7-9	4
Comp. A	10-12	4
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	16-20	4
C	7-9	4
Comp. B	10-12	4
	16-20	4
C	7-9	4
Comp.	10-12	4
	16-20	4
	7-9	4
Comp. F	10-12	4
	16-20	4
	7-9	4
Comp. D	10-12	4
	16-20	4
		40



Codex Stan Cross-section method.



Include bone & Skin. No mechanical grinding.



Sample preparation CODEX



Sample identification



Brush surface salt



Length measurement ____



Sections cutting



Cross sections (2 mm?)



Weighing




Codex laboratory sample


- Difficult, high cost. **2 technicians spent 100 min to process 8 samples.**
- Mechanical band- saw required ¿Available at laboratories?
- Imprecise cuts (2 mm is not realistic in practice). Affects laboratory sample.
- 18-20 g of the laboratory sample go into the plate . 20 h 103 C until constant weight.





Length and weight data.









Moisture contents (Codex).

		N	Moisture Mean (g/100g)	Moisture SD (g/100g)	Number of samples not complaying with the Brazilian regulation	% of samples beyond the 53% limit (estimated statistically)	Statistical method.
8/10	Cod	20	52,5	1,3	7 (35%)	35%	T-test
7/9	Cod	20	51,0*	1,1	0 (0%)	4,2%	T-test
10/12	Cod	20	50,7*	1,3	2 (10 %)	4,8%	T-test
7/9	Saithe	20	50,5*	1,6	1 (5%)	7,2%	T-test
10/12	Saithe	20	49,5**	1,6	0 (0%)	2,0%	T-test
16/20	Saithe	20	49,8**	1,8	1 (5%)	4,0%	T-test

^{*} No statistical differences found between groups in the mean results.


Are these non-compliance rates acceptable by exporting companies?

^{* *} No statistical differences found between groups in the mean results.






Moisture contents internal variability at the companies.



Low variance between production lots.




Portuguese Decreto-Lei 25-2005






Moisture contents from project FHF - 901307.






Sample selection for Brazil vs. Codex method comparison.






Sample selection for longitudinal variance.





Sample preparation for method & anatomical comparison





Sample preparation for method & anatomical comparison

7 samples from each fish (2 Codex replicates, 2 Brazil method replicates, 3 sections (anterior, medium, posterior).




20 samples from 5 suppliers. Duplicate analysis for method comparison.

	Codex Stan 169 - 1989				Analysis Brasil	
COD	Size class	Analysis	Anterior	Media	Posterior	Mix
Company 1	8/10	4 x 2	4	4	4	4 x 2
Company 2	8/10	4 x 2	4	4	4	4 x 2
Company 3	8/10	4 x 2	4	4	4	4 x 2
Company 4	8/10	4 x 2	4	4	4	4 x 2
Company 5	8/10	4 x 2	4	4	4	4 x 2
		40	20	20	20	40



Moisture content variation in the fish length (Cod 8-10).






Brazil vs. Codex method comparison (Cod 8/10).

The Brazilian methodology leads to more precise results (± 0,58) than Codex method (±1,10).

In average, the Brazilian method gives a 1,63 \pm 0,76 g/100g higher moisture content than CODEX method.



The implementation of Brazilian method would greatly increase the previous non-compliance rates.



Baseline

Suggested methodology change.

3 sections of 20 mm at (20%, 50% & 80% of total lenght) Full homogenization -Mechanical grinding.

Length en

Anterior (20% FL)

Medium (50% FL)

Posterior (80% FL)

Inclusion of bone & skin would best reflect the moisture content of the product, but may cause higher variability in the result.





Take-home remarks.

- Codex method is costly and imprecise. The use of sections at defined positions can get the same result as the cross-section methodology and make the analysis easier and more accessible.
- Codex results reflect that **mean** moisture contents are below 53% for all groups, but non-compliance rates (*Brazil*) of production may not be assumable by exporters especially for the 8/10 size class.
- Low internal variability between lots. Moderate variance in between companies' production.
- Longitudinal variance in moisture contents was found, specially for the posterior section (related to product thickness).
- The brazilian method is easier to implement and more precise since only the edible fraction is used. Sections are certainly skewed to the front part of the fish (excludes tail).
- The Brazilian method gives significantly higher moisture contents than Codex method (Mean Bias: +1,6 g/100g). This should be taken into account by authorities.
- A modification of the reference method is suggested.





Thank you for your attention.

Any questions?